to this year's most valuable and interesting Address. We know, sir, how all these years of financial stringency you have been able to finance many of the big schemes that were undertaken by your predecessors. Not only that. You have been able to start yourself very many irrigation and industrial works which have already proved most useful to the public of the State. We also know how anxious you have been all these years to see to the comforts of the people in the rural parts. We are glad to learn that you have already got nearly 200 villages lit with electricity and that several industries have also been started for the benefit of the poor in the rural parts. We are sure, and we are assured that, whether in the matter of scientific investigation of agriculture or industry, we have got your support and sympathy always. We beg to express our most sincere and grateful thanks for all the good that you have done these years and that you are going to do in future to the people of this State.

Sir, we also take this opportunity of thanking the Hon'ble Col. Plowden, the British Resident, who has been evincing a lot of interest in the welfare of the people of this State. Still, very many important questions are pending. We are sure he will see to the favourable settlement of those questions and we are assured that we have got in him a most sympathetic British Resident in Mysore.

Sir, we also beg you to convey our most loyal and sincere gratitude to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore for his sympathetic and wise rule all these 33 years.

MR. C. K. VENKATRAMAIYA, Kannada Translator to Government, then read the Kannada translation of the Dewan's Speech.

SWEARING IN OF A NEW MEMBER.

MR. M. K. SUBBIAH (Malvalli) then made the prescribed Oath of Allegiance to His Highness the Maharaja and took his seat.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

Disqualification of a member of the Channapatna Municipal Council.

MR. S. I. MUMTAZ (Minorities).—

Q.—3. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) Whether any member of the Channapatna Municipal Council was disabled under Section 15 (2) (*b*) of the Mysore Municipal Regulation of 1906 during the year 1932-33 ?

(*b*) Whether the disabled member had approached the Government under Section 15 (3); if so, what was the order of Government ?

(*c*) Whether a bye-election was held to fill up the above said vacancy under Section 16 and notified under Section 19 by the Government ?

(*d*) Whether a suit was filed against the successful candidate of the bye-election under Mis. No. 72—32-33, in the District and Sessions Court, disputing the vacancy and the validity of the election ; if so, what was the result ?

(*e*) Whether the disqualification and disability of the person referred to in (*a*) *supra* had ceased on the 11th July 1934 or he is still under the disqualifications specified under Section 12 (2) (*e*) of the Town Municipalities Regulation of 1933 ?

(*f*) If the disqualifications specified under Section 12 (2) (*e*) had not ceased, was he qualified to be a candidate on 4th July 1934 under Article 11 of the Town Municipal Election Rules ?

(*g*) Whether the person referred to in (*a*) *supra* had sent up his application for candidature on 11th July 1934 for the Channapatna Municipal Council election held on 25th July 1934 ?

(*h*) Whether any objections under Article 15 (1) of the Town Municipal Election Rules as per notification dated 11th July 1934 were presented to the Returning Officer within 16th July 1934 ; if so, what were the orders of the Returning Officer ? (A copy of the order to be placed on the table.)

(*i*) Whether an appeal was filed before the Deputy Commissioner against the orders of the Returning Officer, under Section 194 of the Regulation ; if so, what is the decision of the Deputy Commissioner ?

(*j*) Whether an appeal was filed before the Government on 25th July 1934 against the order of the Returning Officer; if so, what was the decision taken by Government?

(*k*) (i) What was the result of the election in B. Division (number of votes secured by the candidates to be furnished) and (ii) whether the person against whom objections were made was elected?

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT (MR M. VENKATANARANAPPA).—

A.—(*a*) Yes, under Section 15 (2) (*f*) of the Municipal Regulation, 1906.

(*b*) Petition was rejected and an endorsement was granted to the petitioner.

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) Government are not aware whether any suit was filed.

(*e*) and (*f*) The individual in question stood as a candidate for the council in connection with the general election during July 1934. The disqualification under Section 12 (2) (*e*) relates to Municipal Councillors and not to candidates.

(*g*) Yes.

(*h*) Yes. Objections were received on 14th July 1934. A copy of the order of the Returning Officer is enclosed. (*Vide* Appendix.)

(*i*) Yes. The application was rejected on the ground that the section referred to did not authorise the Deputy Commissioner to interfere.

(*j*) Yes. The petition was rejected on the ground that no appeal lies to Government against the orders of the Returning Officer in the matter.

(*k*)—

(i)	Mr. Doulatkhan Saheb ...	267
	,, Hassan Saheb	252
	,, S. I. Mumtaz	266
	,, Minsahibmiyan	268
	,, R. Miyansaheb	251
	,, Khudabaksh	241
	,, C. K. Syedanmiyan ...	244
	,, M. P. Puttaswamigowda ...	91
	,, Aziz Mahadi Bukhari ...	147
	,, Ramachandrasetty ...	124

(ii) No.

MR. C. N. NARASINGA RAO.—Will it not cause great hardship to candidates if no appeal lies to Government against the orders of Returning Officers?

MR. M. VENKATANARANAPPA.—Government are considering the question of revising the rules.

MR. K. T. BHASHYAM AIYANGAR.—For how long is this question engaging the attention of Government and how long will it take for them to come to a decision?

MR. M. VENKATANARANAPPA.—It has been under consideration for some time and a decision will be taken as soon as possible.

MR. S.K. VENKATARANGA IYENGAR.—If the Government are going to provide for appeals, will the appeals lie before judicial tribunals or before executive officers of Government?

MR. M. VENKATANARANAPPA.—That is part of the question engaging attention.

Repairs to Sri Narasimhaswami Temple at Sugganahalli, Magadi Taluk.

MESSRS. H. GANGAPPA and M. S. PUTTARAMASETTI (Magadi Taluk); R. DASAPPA (Devanhalli Taluk); T. MADIAH GOWDA and V. VENKATAPPA (Channapatna Taluk); A. M. VENKATASAMIAH (Closepet Municipality); VEERE GOWDA (Dodballapur Taluk); M. LINGE GOWDA (Kankanhalli Taluk); GURUSWAMI GOWDA (Hoskote Taluk); M. B. GURULINGIAH (Closepet Taluk) and S. T. MALLASETTAPPA (Nelamangala Taluk).—

Q.—4. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) Whether the temple of Sri Narasimhaswami at Sugganahalli in Magadi Taluk is not a major muzrai institution?

(*b*) What is the amount available at the credit of the said temple?

(*c*) Whether it has come to their notice that the compound walls, etc., of the temple have fallen into disrepair?

(*d*) What is the reason for not executing the repairs till now though there is so much money at the credit of the temple?

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (MR. K. V. ANANTARAMAN).—

A.—(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Rs. 4,660-2-5 is the cash balance